

No deception indicated is a polygraph term to indicate the examinee passed his examination.

Integrity Investigative Solutions, LLC
Polygraph report for Phillip Morris
Troy Curby
August 25, 2026

Pre-Test Interview:

I met the examinee at approximately 1245 hours. I verified the examinee's identity using his Arkansas Driver's License. I reviewed the test questions with the examinee. The examinee said he understood the scope and meaning of each question.

DIAGNOSTIC FINDINGS:

Upon completion of the pretest interview, this examiner determined the examinee to be suitable for the polygraph technique.

A Utah Zone Comparison Test (single issue) was administered using a fully computerized multichannel polygraph instrument, that is capable of simultaneously recording both thoracic and abdominal respiratory and muscle/behavioral activity, along with changes in cardiovascular and electrodermal activity, and includes dedicated components to monitor test subject behavior and assist in the accurate differentiation of test data that represents authentic sympathetic/autonomic response activity to test stimulus questions from data that includes adulterated autonomic and peripheral/behavioral nervous system activities intended to alter or defeat one's polygraph test results.

A series of presentations were completed for the relevant question. Careful inspection of the examinee's polygraph examination record revealed the test data was of sufficient quality to complete a standard numerical evaluation.

The examination technique consists of a combination of: 1) a polygraph testing protocol that conforms to evidence-based principles for target selection, test question construction, and test administration (the Event-Specific ZCT following the Utah Approach); and, 2) a test data analysis model (Empirical Scoring System) for which there exists a published and/or replicated body of at least two empirical studies that provide evidence of their diagnostic or screening accuracy for those dimensional aspects specified in the American Polygraph Association Meta-Analytic Report on Validated Techniques (APA, 2011). Event-specific examinations consisting of three relevant questions that describe a known or alleged incident when scored with the ESS have been shown to provide a mean sensitivity rate of .817 (.706 - .927) and mean test specificity of .846 (.747 - .946). The mean false-negative error rate has been reported as .077 (.004 - .151), and the mean false-positive rate has been reported as .064 (.001 - .130). Unweighted decision accuracy for these exams has been reported as .921 (.866 - .977) with an estimated inconclusive rate of .098 (.039 - .157).

The following questions were asked:

1. ***Did you lie on the question form?***
2. ***Did you lie on the question form you provided?***
3. ***Did you lie on the question form you emailed me?***